

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,807	11/13/2003	Frank van Diggelen	GLBL/041	7857	
7590 05/25/2005			EXAMINER		
Moser, Patterson & Sheridan, LLP			PHAN, DAO LINDA		
Suite 100 595 Shrewsbury Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Shrewsbury, NJ 07702			3662		
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2009	DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(a)				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/712,807	DIGGELEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Dao L. Phan	with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC at the cause the application to become a statute, cause the application to become a statute.	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 April 2005.					
· <u> </u>	<i>,</i> —					
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21,26 and 28-32 is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 22-25 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the application is/are.	thdrawn from consideration. d.	·				
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call.	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		(Summany (PTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/8 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/712,807

Art Unit: 3662

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., generating integrity data using a first set of satellite tracking data received at a server for a second set of satellite tracking data stored in at least one remote receiver) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 22-25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clark (Pat. No. 6,782,330) or Egan et al (Pat. No. 6,826,476).

Clark teaches a method and apparatus for monitoring the integrity of satellite tracking data used by a remote receiver including receiving (116; abstract) a first set of satellite data at a server, generating (130, 216) integrity data for a second set of satellite

Application/Control Number: 10/712,807

Art Unit: 3662

tracking data using the first set of satellite tracking data, and transmitting (126; abstract) the integrity data to at least one remote receiver having the second set of satellite data.

Egan et al teach a method and apparatus for monitoring the integrity of satellite tracking data used by a remote receiver including receiving (fig. 5, 23, 32) a first set of satellite data at a server, generating (fig. 5, 12) integrity data for a second set of satellite tracking data using the first set of satellite tracking data, and transmitting (fig. 6; col 4, line 5+) the integrity data to at least one remote receiver having the second set of satellite data.

4. Claims 22-25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by King et al (Pat. No. 6,429,808).

King et al teach a method and apparatus for monitoring the integrity of satellite tracking data used by a remote receiver including receiving (fig. 13) a first set of satellite data at a server, generating (fig. 13, 706; col 5, lines 25-40) integrity data for a second set of satellite tracking data using the first set of satellite tracking data, and transmitting (col 18, line 65+) the integrity data to at least one remote receiver having the second set of satellite data.

- 5. Claims 1-21, 26, 28-32 are allowed.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not—

Application/Control Number: 10/712,807

Art Unit: 3662

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dao L. Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-6976. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarcza Thomas can be reached on (571)272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAG PHAN Petent examiner